BILL PASCRELL, JR.

8TH DISTRICT, NEW JERSEY

1722 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-5751 (202) 225-5782 FAX

> ROBERT A ROE FEDERAL BUILDING 200 FEDERAL PLAZA, SUITE 500 PATERSON, NJ 07505 (973) 523-5152 (973) 523-0637 FAX

> > http://pascrell house gov bill pascrell@mail house gov



Congress of the United States House of Representatives

September 24, 2003

Bryant L. VanBrakle Secretary Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capital Street, NW Washington, DC 20573

RE: Petition P3-03

Dear Commissioners:



COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
AND INFRASTRUCTURE
SUBCOMMITTEE O'AVIATION
SUBCOMMITTEE ON HIGHWAYS.
TRANSIT AND PIPELINES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON WATER RESOURCES
AND ENVIRONMENT

SELECT COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEEONEMERGENCYPREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE

SUBCOMMITTEE Offinerastructure ANDBORDERSECURITY

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS		
(D) _ nic	(1)	піг
CONGRES	SSTONA	L BRAIN
HUJURY	TASK	FORCE
	COPCHAIR	
-	S	
7		
177	ယ	,
<u>C</u>	•••	San and
<u>.</u>	N	
	(J)	

It is my understanding the UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering confidential contracts with their customers. I support of the UPS petition for revised regulations currently pending before the FMC due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform* Act (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCs were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S. ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCS.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its consideration and render an decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Bill Fasorell Bill Pascrell, Jr. Member of Congress